

Серия УМК "Alles klar!":

Медиация на уроке немецкого языка как второго

Радченко Олег Анатольевич, д.ф.н., проф., профессор кафедры общего и сравнительного языкознания ФГБОУ ВО МГЛУ, научный руководитель Центра лингводидактики, языкового тестирования и содействия миграционной политике ФГБОУ ВО ГосИРЯ им. А.С. Пушкина





an association of language test providers who work together to promote the fair and accurate assessment of linguistic ability across Europe and beyond

was founded in 1989 by the University of Cambridge (UK) and the Universidad de Salamanca (Spain) today counts with 32 Full Members, 58

Institutional Affiliates, and over 500 Individual Affiliates.

COMMON EUROPEAN FRAMEWORK OF REFERENCE FOR LANGUAGES: LEARNING, TEACHING, ASSESSMENT COMPANION

COUNCIL OF EUROPE



CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE

Institutes (organized in alphabetical order by country) that participated between February and November 2015 in the validation of the descriptors for mediation, online interaction, reactions to literature and plurilingual/pluricultural competence, and/or assisted in initial piloting. The Council of Europe also wishes to thank the many individual participants, all of whose institutes could not be included.

Russia


Globus International Language Centres National Research University Higher Schools of Economics, Moscow Lomonosov State University Nizhny Novgorod Linguistics University Samara State University

Moscow State Institute of International Relations

Petersburg State University

Creative, Interpersonal Language Use	RECEPTION e.g. Reading as a leisure activity	PRODUCTION e.g. Sustained monologue: Describing experience	INTERACTION e.g. Conversation	MEDIATION Mediating communication
Transactional Language Use	e.g. Reading for information and argument	e.g. Sustained monologue: Giving information	e.g. Obtaining goods and services Information exchange	Mediating a text
Evaluative, Problem-solving Language Use	(Merged with reading for information and argument)	e.g. Sustained monologue : Presenting a case	e.g. Discussion	Mediating concepts

Table 1 – Macro-functional basis of CEFR categories for communicative language activities




КОМПОНЕНТЫ УМК





Mediation

The approach taken to mediation in the 2001 CEFR publication and in the 2013-2017 project is explained in the next section. The 1996 pilot version of the CEFR, published during the last stages of the Swiss research project, sketched out categories for illustrative descriptor scales for mediation to complement those for reception, interaction and production. However, no project was set up to develop them. One important aim of the current update, therefore, was to, finally, provide such descriptor scales for mediation, given the increasing relevance of this area in education. In the consideration of mediation, descriptors for building on plurilingual and pluricultural repertoires were also added. It was to the validation of these new descriptors for mediation, online interaction, reactions to literature and building on pluributed.



Relationship of mediation scales to existing CEFR scales

Although the focus in the project was to provide descriptors for activities and strategies that were not already covered by existing CEFR descriptor scales, some aspects of the mediation scales, particularly at lower levels, are reminiscent of the kinds of activities described in existing CEFR scales. This is because some aspects of mediation, in the broader interpretation now being adopted, are already present in the original illustrative descriptor scales. The new scales under Mediating a text for Relaying specific information, Explaining data and Processing text, for example, are an elaboration of concepts introduced in the existing scale Processing text under 'Text' in CEFR Section 4.6.3. Similarly, the scales particularly concerning group interaction Facilitating collaborative interaction with peers, Collaborating to construct meaning, and Encouraging conceptual talk are in many ways a further development of concepts in the existing scale Cooperating strategies under Interaction Strategies. This underlines the difficulty of any scheme of categorisation. We should never underestimate the fact that categories are convenient, invented artefacts that make it easier for us to interpret the world. Boundaries are fuzzy and overlap is inevitable



Cross-linguistic mediation


Earlier versions of the descriptors had experimented with various formulations seeking to take account of this point. However, making clear distinctions proved to be remarkably difficult. Mother tongue and first language and language of schooling are often not synonymous and even expressions like source language and target language proved confusing (e.g. when mediating from another language one may be mediating to the mother tongue, the other language is in such a case the source language and the mother tongue would be the target language). Attempts to cater for these variations also meant that at one point the collection of descriptors tripled in size unnecessarily, with very minor changes in formulation. Therefore, the project group decided to take the line that, as with the original illustrative descriptors, what is calibrated is the perceived difficulty of the functional language ability irrespective of whatever languages are involved. It is recommended that those languages should be specified by the user as part of the adaptation of the descriptors for practical use.



Mediation

The development and validation of the scales for mediation is described in the report *Developing Illustrative Descriptors of Aspects of Mediation for the Common European Framework of Reference (CEFR)*. The aim was to provide CEFR descriptors for a broader view of mediation presented in the paper *Education, Mobility, Otherness: The mediation functions of schools*. In mediation, the user/learner acts as a social agent who creates bridges and helps to construct or convey meaning, sometimes within the same language, sometimes from one language to another (cross-linguistic mediation). The focus is on the role of language in processes like creating the space and conditions for communicating and/or learning, collaborating to construct new meaning, encouraging others to construct or understand new meaning, and passing on new information in an appropriate form. The context can be social, pedagogic, cultural, linguistic or professional.





Page 104 CEFR Companion Volume with New Descriptors

OVERALL MEDIATION				
C2	Can mediate effectively and naturally, taking on different roles according to the needs of the people and situation involved, identifying nuances and undercurrents and guiding a sensitive or delicate discussion. Can explain in clear, fluent, well- structured language the way facts and arguments are presented, conveying evaluative aspects and most nuances precisely, and pointing out sociocultural implications (e.g. use of register, understatement, irony and sarcasm).			
C1	Can act effectively as a mediator, helping to maintain positive interaction by interpreting different perspectives, managing ambiguity, anticipating misunderstandings and intervening diplomatically in order to redirect talk. Can build on different contributions to a discussion, stimulating reasoning with a series of questions. Can convey clearly and fluently in well- structured language the significant ideas in long, complex texts, whether or not they relate to his/her own fields of interest, including evaluative aspects and most nuances.			
B2	Can establish a supportive environment for sharing ideas and facilitate discussion of delicate issues, showing appreciation of different perspectives, encouraging people to explore issues and adjusting sensitively the way he/she expresses things. Can build upon other's ideas, making suggestions for ways forward. Can convey the main content of well-structured but long and propositionally complex texts on subjects within his/her fields of professional, academic and personal interest, clarifying the opinions and purposes of speakers.			
	Can work collaboratively with people from different backgrounds, creating a positive atmosphere by giving support, asking questions to identify common goals, comparing options for how to achieve them and explaining suggestions for what to do next. Can further develop other people's ideas, pose questions that invite reactions from different perspectives and propose a solution or next steps. Can convey detailed information and arguments reliably, e.g. the significant point(s) contained in complex but well-structured texts within his/her fields of professional, academic and personal interest.			
B1	Can collaborate with people from other backgrounds, showing interest and empathy by asking and answering simple questions, formulating and responding to suggestions, asking whether people agree, and proposing alternative approaches. Can convey the main points made in long texts expressed in uncomplicated language on topics of personal interest, provided that he/she can check the meaning of certain expressions.			
	Can introduce people from different backgrounds, showing awareness that some questions may be perceived differently, and invite other people to contribute their expertise and experience, their views. Can convey information given in clear, well-structured informational texts on subjects that are familiar or of personal or current interest, although his/her lexical limitations cause difficulty with formulation at times.			
A2	Can play a supportive role in interaction, provided that other participants speak slowly and that one or more of them helps him/her to contribute and to express his/her suggestions. Can convey relevant information contained in clearly structured, short, simple, informational texts, provided that the texts concern concrete, familiar subjects and are formulated in simple everyday language.			
	Can use simple words to ask someone to explain something. Can recognise when difficulties occur and indicate in simple language the apparent nature of a problem. Can convey the main point(s) involved in short, simple conversations or texts on everyday subjects of immediate interest provided these are expressed clearly in simple language.			
A1	Can use simple words and non-verbal signals to show interest in an idea. Can convey simple, predictable information of immediate interest given in short, simple signs and notices, posters and programmes.			
Pre-A1	No descriptors available			





Mediation activities

There are many different aspects of mediation, but all share certain characteristics. For example, in mediation, one is less concerned with one's own needs, ideas or expression, than with those of the party or parties for whom one is mediating. A person who engages in mediation activity needs to have a well-developed emotional intelligence, or an openness to develop it, in order to have sufficient empathy for the viewpoints and emotional states of other participants in the communicative situation. The term mediation is also used to describe a social and cultural process of creating conditions for communication and cooperation, facing and hopefully defusing any delicate situations and tensions that may arise. Particularly with regard to cross-linguistic mediation, users should remember that this inevitably also involves social and cultural competence as well as plurilingual competence. This underlines the fact that one cannot in practice completely separate types of mediation from each other. In adapting descriptors to their context, therefore, users should feel free to mix and match categories to suit their own perspective. The scales for mediation are presented in three groups, reflecting the way in which mediation tends to occur.

Mediating a text involves passing on to another person the content of a text to which they do not have access, often because of linguistic, cultural, semantic or technical barriers. This is the main sense in which the 2001 CEFR text uses the term mediation. The first set of descriptor scales offered are for this, usually cross-linguistic, interpretation, which is increasingly being incorporated into language curricula (in e.g. Switzerland, Germany, Austria, Italy, Greece and Spain). However, the notion has been further developed to include mediating a text for oneself (for example in taking notes during a lecture) or in expressing reactions to texts, particularly creative and literary ones.



Relaying specific information

refers to the way some particular piece(s) of information of immediate relevance is extracted from the target text and relayed to someone else. Here, the emphasis is on the specific content that is relevant, rather than the main ideas or lines of argument presented in a text. Relaying specific information is related to Reading for orientation (although the information concerned may have been given orally in a public announcement or series of instructions). The user/learner scans the source text for the necessary information and then relays this to a recipient. Key concepts operationalised in the two scales (relaying in speech and in writing) include the following:

- relaying information on times, places, prices, etc. from announcements or written artefacts;
- relaying sets of directions or instructions;
- ▶ relaying specific, relevant information from informational texts like guides and brochures, from correspondence, or from longer, complex texts like articles, reports etc

In the two scales, Language A and Language B may be two different languages, two varieties of the same language, two registers of the same variety, or any combination of the above. However, they may also be identical. In the former case, users should specify the languages / varieties concerned; in the latter case, users should simply remove the parts in brackets.



RELAYING SPECIFIC INFORMATION IN SPEECH

C2

No descriptors available; see C1

C1

Can explain (in Language B) the relevance of specific information found in a particular section of a long, complex text (written in Language A).

B2

Can relay (in Language B) which presentations given in (Language A) at a conference, which articles in a book (written in Language A) are particularly relevant for a specific purpose.

Can relay (in Language B) the main point(s) contained in formal correspondence and/or reports on general subjects and on subjects related to his/her fields of interest (written in Language A).

Β1

Can relay (in Language B) the content of public announcements and messages spoken in clear, standard (Language A) at normal speed.

Can relay (in Language B) the contents of detailed instructions or directions, provided these are clearly articulated (in Language A).

Can relay (in Language B) specific information given in straightforward informational texts (such as leaflets, brochure entries, notices and letters or emails) (written in Language A).

A2

Can relay (in Language B) the point made in a clear, spoken announcement (made in Language A) concerning familiar everyday subjects, though he/she may have to simplify the message and search for words.

Can relay (in Language B) specific, relevant information contained in short, simple texts, labels and notices (written in Language A) on familiar subjects. Can relay (in Language B) the point made in short, clear, simple messages, instructions and announcements, provided these are expressed slowly and clearly in simple language (in Language A).

Can relay (in Language B) in a simple way a series of short, simple instructions provided the original speech (in Language A) is clearly and slowly articulated.

A1

Can relay (in Language B) simple, predictable information about times and places given in short, simple statements (spoken in Language A).

Pre-A1

Can relay (in Language B) simple instructions about places and times (given in Language A), provided these are repeated very slowly and clearly. Can relay (in Language B) very basic information (e.g. numbers and prices) from short, simple, illustrated texts (written in Language A).

RELAYING SPECIFIC INFORMATION IN WRITING

C2 No descriptors available; see B2 C1 No descriptors available; see B2

B2

Can relay in writing (in Language B) which presentations at a conference (given in Language A) were relevant, pointing out which would be worth detailed consideration. Can relay in writing (in Language B) the relevant point(s) contained in propositionally complex but well-structured texts (written Language A) within his/her fields of professional, academic and personal interest.

Can relay in writing (in Language B) the relevant point(s) contained in an article (written in Language A) from an academic or professional journal.

Can relay in a written report (in Language B) relevant decisions that were taken in a meeting (in Language A).

Can relay in writing the significant point(s) contained in formal correspondence (in Language A).

Β1

Can relay in writing (in Language B) specific information points contained in texts (spoken in Language A) on familiar subjects (e.g. telephone calls, announcements, and instructions).

Can relay in writing (in Language B) specific, relevant information contained in straightforward informational texts (written in Language A) on familiar subjects. Can relay in writing (in Language B) specific information given in a straightforward recorded message (left in Language A), provided that the topics concerned are familiar and the delivery is slow and clear.

A2

Can relay in writing (in Language B) specific information contained in short simple informational texts (written in Language A), provided the texts concern concrete, familiar subjects and are written in simple everyday language.


Can list (in Language B) the main points of short, clear, simple messages and announcements (given in Language A) provided that speech is clearly and slowly articulated. Can list (in Language B) specific information contained in simple texts (written in Language A) on everyday subjects of immediate interest or need.

A1

Can list (in Language B) names, numbers, prices and very simple information of immediate interest (given in Language A), provided that the speaker articulates very slowly and clearly, with repetition.

Pre-A1

Can list (in Language B) names, numbers, prices and very simple information from texts (written Language A) that are of immediate interest, that are written in very simple language and contain illustrations.



DIE RUSSISCHE SOLJANKA

1. Подготовить 4 сардельки, 6 сосисок, 2 банки томатной пасты (Tomatenmark), 3 шт. красного болгарского перца (Рарrika), 4 столовые ложки кетчупа, 1 маленькую луковицу, 1 литр воды, 1 лимон, 1 стакан сметаны, 2 ломтика ветчины, растительное масло (Öl), соль, острый соус.

- 2. Сардельки и сосиски разрезать на ломтики, лук порезать кубиками, перец и ветчину — полосками (Streifen).
- 3. Сардельки, сосиски, лук и ветчину поджарить в горячем масле.
- 4. Воду смешать с томатной пастой, добавить перец и влить к сосискам.
- 5. Суп должен немного покипеть, затем добавить кетчуп и снова лать покипеть.
- 6. Добавить немного острого соуса и соли.

ł

238

a stan

- 7. Дать постоять кастрюле 2 часа в тёплом месте.
- 8. Перед подачей на стол в суп положить ломтик лимона и сметану.


DEUTSCH IN EUROPA

In Europa sprechen ungefähr _____ bis _____ Millionen Menschen Deutsch als Muttersprache, also ______ % der Einwohner Europas. Das sind vor allem die Einwohner Deutschlands und Österreichs und des deutschsprachigen Teils der Schweiz. Dazu kommen dann die deutschen Minderheiten: in Dänemark, Belgien und vor allem in Osteuropa, Die Europäische Kommission hat vor einiger Zeit Zahlen bekannt gemacht. Danach sprechen in Europa Deutsch als Fremdsprache ______% der EU-Einwohner, Französisch _____%, Englisch _______%. Nimmt man aber die Zahl der Menschen mit Deutsch als Muttersprache dazu, so kommt man auf ______% der EU-Bürger. Französisch sprechen danach ______%. Ganz vorne liegt Englisch mit ______%, Englisch als Muttersprache sprechen



- Christa. Die Kritiken sagen was anderes. Wo ist die Grenze zwischen Menschlichkeit und Unmenschlichkeit? Das ist wohl die Frage des Films.
- Claudia, Im Zusammenhang mit dem Dritten Reich fällt mir Christoph Schlingensief ein.
- Christa. Der ist doch Theaterregisseur.
- Claudia, Bekannt wurde er aber durch seine Filme über das Dritte Reich. Kennt die einer?
- Andrej. Sagt mal, gibt es bei euch keine Filme über die Mafia? Bei uns ist ein Schauspieler mit diesen Filmen sehr beliebt geworden: Goscha Kuzenko.
- Klaus. Die gibt es schon; aber die sind meistens aus den USA.
- Heike. Einen guten deutschen gibt es doch, den 'Schattenmann' mit Mario Adorf.
- Andrej. Können wir den nicht sehen? Ich möchte ihn gern mit unseren vergleichen.
- Klaus. Mann, du bist ja ein echter Kinofan! Super.

Schlöndorff! Erzählt auf Russisch!



Volker Schlöndorff wurde in Wiesbaden geboren, wuchs in Schlangenbad im Taunus auf und besuchte später das Gymnasium in seinem Geburtsort. 1956 ging er nach Frankreich, blieb dort zehn Jahre und schloss die Schule in Paris ab. In Paris studierte er politische Wissenschaften und lernte den bekannten französischen Regisseur Louis Malle kennen. 1960 drehte er unter dem Pseudonym Volker Loki seinen ersten Kurzfilm "Wen kümmert's" über Algerier in Frankfurt. Er arbeitete als Assistent von Regisseuren Ludwig Berger, Louis Malle, Jean-Pierre

Volker Schlöndorff

Melville und Alain Resnais. 1963/64 schrieb er an seinem ersten Drehbuch für "Der Junge Törless" nach dem Roman von Robert Musil. Dafür erhielt er eine Prämie, und diese machte ihm später die Realisierung des Projektes möglich. Der Film wurde mehrfach ausge-

Explaining data

refers to the transformation into a verbal text of information found in diagrams, charts, figures and other images. The user/learner might do this as part of a PowerPoint presentation, or when explaining to a friend or colleague the key information given in graphics accompanying an article, a weather forecast, or financial information. Key concepts operationalised in the two scales (explaining data in speech and in writing) include the following:

- describing graphic material on familiar topics (e.g. flow charts weather charts);
- presenting trends in graphs;
- commenting on bar charts;
- selecting and interpreting the salient relevant points of empirical data presented graphically



EXPLAINING DATA IN SPEECH (E.G. IN GRAPHS, DIAGRAMS, CHARTS ETC.)

C2

Can interpret and describe clearly and reliably (in Language B) various forms of empirical data and visually organised information (with text in Language A) from conceptually complex research concerning academic or professional topics.

C1

Can interpret and describe clearly and reliably (in Language B) the salient points and details contained in complex diagrams and other visually organised information (with text in Language A) on complex academic or professional topics.

B2

Can interpret and describe reliably (in Language B) detailed information contained in complex diagrams, charts and other visually organised information (with text in Language A) on topics in his/her fields of interest. Can interpret and describe (in Language B) detailed information in diagrams in his/her fields of interest (with text in Language A), even though lexical gaps may cause hesitation or imprecise formulation.

B1 Can interpret and describe (in Language B) overall trends shown in simple diagrams (e.g. graphs, bar charts) (with text in Language A), even though lexical limitations cause difficulty with formulation at times.

Can interpret and describe (in Language B) simple visuals on familiar topics (e.g. a weather map, a basic flow chart) (with text in Language A), even though pauses, false starts and reformulation may be very evident in speech.

A2 No descriptors available

A1 No descriptors available

Pre-A1 No descriptors available



EXPLAINING DATA IN WRITING (E.G. IN GRAPHS, DIAGRAMS, CHARTS ETC.)

C2

Can interpret and present in writing (in Language B) various forms of empirical data (with text in Language A) from conceptually complex research concerning academic or professional topics.

C1

Can interpret and present clearly and reliably in writing (in Language B) the salient, relevant points contained in complex diagrams and other visually organised data (with text in Language A) on complex academic or professional topics.

B2

Can interpret and present reliably in writing (in Language B) detailed information from diagrams and visually organised data in his fields of interest (with text in Language A). Can interpret and present in writing (in Language B) the overall trends shown in simple diagrams (e.g. graphs, bar charts) (with text in Language A), explaining the important points in more detail, given the help of a dictionary or other reference materials.

Β1

Can describe in simple sentences (in Language B) the main facts shown in visuals on familiar topics (e.g. a weather map, a basic flow chart) (with text in Language A).

A2 No descriptors available

A1 No descriptors available


Pre-A1 No descriptors available

dennoch etwas sportlicher möchte, kann sich für die Variante mit 67 Pferdestärken entscheiden, und die führt deutlich über die 180 km/h. Bei diesem Tempo muss allerdings das — leider sehr tief liegende — Radio lauter gestellt werden, und der Motor braucht dann schon mal deutlich mehr Benzin. Aber der LADA-Fahrer ist für gewöhnlich preisbewusst, und so rollt er mit 130 km/h entspannt über die Autobahn.

Angenehm, dass man in dem recht großen Innenraum selbst bei etwas längeren Strecken keine Platzangst bekommt. Bei der Standard-Belegung mit Vater, Mutter, Kind eignet sich der LADA 2112 auch für eine Fahrt in die Ferien. Der LADA ist beim Umweltschutz auf der Höhe der Zeit: Ab Januar erfüllen alle Fahrzeuge die Abgasnorm Euro 4.

•••••••••••••••• 14. Erzählt über den LADA nach dem Plan!

Wie heißt der Wagen offiziell? Wie viele Türen hat er? Wie stark ist sein Motor? Wie viel Benzin braucht der Wagen? Wie schnell kann er fahren? Wie schnell ist seine sportliche Variante? Was passiert dann? Gibt es im Auto genug Platz für eine Familie? Kann man damit in den Urlaub fahren? Ist er gefährlich für die Umwelt? Wie sah der erste Shiguli aus? Wann und wo wurde der erste Shiguli gebaut? Welchen Namen hat der Shiguli in Westeuropa?





9

Lektion


152

und Nie

Lektion 10

151

halt und Niedersachsen



Wo werden neue deutsche Autos verkauft? Wieviel Prozent?
 Was wird aus Deutschland exportiert?
 Wie viel wurde an Autos 2004 verdient?

Description 16. Lest das Gespräch vor! Informiert euch über Niedersachsen!

LÜNEBURGER HEIDE

Lehrerin. Und was ist die Lüneburger Heide?

- Hanna. Das ist eine ganz besondere Landschaft: Heide, Birken,
- Kiefern und vor allem Wacholder. Abends sehen alle diese Wacholder wie lebendige Menschen aus. Und Heidschnucken gibt es nur da.

Kiki. Was sind das für Tiere?

Jan. Eine besondere Art von Schafen. Überhaupt gibt es in der Lüneburger Heide heute nur noch Landwirtschaft und Tourismus.

Elke. Der Name der Landschaft kommt von der Stadt Lüneburg. Im Mittelalter war das eine reiche Stadt durch seine Salzquellen. Das sieht man an den reichen Bürgerhäusern.

Processing text involves understanding the information and/or arguments included in the source text and then transferring these to another text, usually in a more condensed form, in a way that is appropriate to the context of situation. In other words, the outcome represents a condensing and/or reformulating of the original information and arguments, focusing on the main points and ideas in the source text. The key word of the processing information scales in both speaking and writing is 'summarising'. Whereas in Relaying specific information the user/learner will almost certainly not read the whole text (unless the information required is well hidden!), in Processing text, he/she has first to fully understand all the main points in the source text. Processing text is thus related to Reading for information and argument (sometimes called reading for detail, or careful reading), although the information concerned may have been given orally in a presentation or lecture. The user/learner may then choose to present the information to the recipient in a completely different order, depending on the goal of the communicative encounter. Key concepts operationalised in the two scales include the following:

- summarising main points in a source text;
- collating such information and arguments from different sources;
- recognising and clarifying to the recipient the intended audience, the purpose and viewpoint of the original.

PROCESSING TEXT IN SPEECH

C2

Can explain (in Language B) inferences when links or implications are not made explicit (in Language A), and point out sociocultural implications of the speaker/writer's form of expression (e.g. understatement, irony, sarcasm).

Β1

.....

Can summarise (in Language B) the main points made in clear, well-structured spoken and written texts (in Language A) on subjects that are familiar or of personal interest, although his/her lexical limitations cause difficulty with formulation at times.

Can summarise simply (in Language B) the main information content of straightforward texts (in Language A) on familiar subjects (e.g. a short written interview or magazine article, a travel brochure).

Can summarise (in Language B) the main points made during a conversation (in Language A) on a subject of personal or current interest, provided that the speakers articulated clearly in standard language.

Can summarise (in Language B) the main points made in long texts (delivered orally in Language A) on topics in his/her fields of interest, provided that standard language is used and that he/she can listen several times.

Can summarise (in Language B) the main points or events in TV programmes and video clips (in Language A), provided he/she can view them several times. A2

Can report (in Language B) the main points made in simple TV or radio news items (in Language A) reporting events, sports, accidents, etc., provided that the topics concerned are familiar and the delivery is slow and clear.

Can report in simple sentences (in Language B) the information contained in clearly structured, short, simple texts (written in Language A) that have illustrations or tables. Can summarise (in Language B) the main point(s) in simple, short informational texts (in Language A) on familiar topics.

Can convey (in Language B) the main point(s) contained in clearly structured, short, simple spoken and written texts (in Language A), supplementing his/her limited repertoire with other means (e.g. gestures, drawings, words from other languages) in order to do so.

A1

Can convey (in Language B) simple, predictable information given in short, very simple signs and notices, posters and programmes (written in Language A). Pre-A1 No descriptors available

PROCESSING TEXT IN WRITING

C2

Can explain in writing (in Language B) the way facts and arguments are presented in a text (in Language A), particularly when someone else's position is being reported, drawing attention to the writer's use of understatement, veiled criticism, irony, and sarcasm.

Can summarise information from different sources, reconstructing arguments and accounts in a coherent presentation of the overall result.

Β1

Can summarise in writing (in Language B) the information and arguments contained in texts (in Language A) on subjects of general or personal interest. Can summarise in writing (in Language B) the main points made in straightforward informational spoken and written texts (in Language A) on subjects that are of personal or current interest, provided spoken texts are delivered in clearly articulated standard speech.

Can paraphrase short written passages in a simple fashion, using the original text wording and ordering.

A2

Can list as a series of bullet points (in Language B) the relevant information contained in short simple texts (in Language A), provided that the texts concern concrete, familiar subjects and are written in simple everyday language.

Can pick out and reproduce key words and phrases or short sentences from a short text within the learner's limited competence and experience.

Can use simple language to render in (Language B) very short texts written in (Language A) on familiar and everyday themes that contain the highest frequency vocabulary; despite errors, the text remains comprehensible.

Can copy out short texts in printed or clearly hand-written format.

A1

Can, with the help of a dictionary, render in (Language B) simple phrases written in (Language A), but may not always select the appropriate meaning. Can copy out single words and short texts presented in standard printed format.

Pre-A1

No descriptors available

Beese 23. Hört euch das Gespräch an und füllt die Lücken!

FASTNACHT

Sonja. Karneval, ist das dasselbe wie ____?

- Kiki. Ja, Karneval heißt das in _____ und Düsseldorf, Fasching in München und Österreich, Fastnacht in Mainz und in _____.
- Sonja. Karneval weißich, was heißt Fastnacht?
- Kiki. Das heißt wohl 'Abend _____ der Fastenzeit'. Manche sagen aber auch, dass es von 'faseln', 'dummes ______ reden' kommt.
- Sonja. Ist die Fastnacht genauso wie der Karneval?
- *Kiki*. In Mainz ja. Aber in Schwaben ist sie _____. *Sonja*. Meine Freundin war da mal bei ihrer Tante. Da machen sie
- aber auch Umzüge.
- Kiki. Ja schon, aber nicht so große. Es ist alles kleiner, wie in _____eben.
- Sonja. Aber die Feten sind doch nicht anders als hier, oder?
- Kiki. Doch. Hier sieht man in den Zügen und auf der Straße viele _____, und wir ziehen ja auch welche an. Und die Gesichter
- werden meistens angemalt.
- Sonja. Ja und? In Schwaben nicht?
- Kiki. Dort tragen die Narren meistens _____. Die sind oft so, dass man Angst kriegt.
- Sonja. Ach ja, das hat Irina auch gesagt. Warum?
- Kiki. Das ist eine andere _____ der Fastnacht. Jeder Narrenverein hat historische Kostüme. Aber die sind schon älter als die in Köln. Sonja. Ach ja, aber richtige Gerichte für die Narren gibt es da, hat
 - Irina _____.

Lektion 6

96

mit russischen Gästen

- Kiki. Siehst du, das meine ich. Es ist alles ernster als im Rheinland. Und die Narrengerichte sind auch richtige _____, die muss man schon ernst nehmen.
- Sonja. Ich glaube, hier hat man mehr Spaß.

Was ist der Aschermittwoch? Lest und übersetzt ohne Wörterbuch!

Am Aschermittwoch geht jeder Katholik zur Beichte, und der Pfarrer macht ihm ein Kreuz aus Asche auf die Stirn. Nach altem Brauch aß man früher mittags in den Familien in Köln am Aschermittwoch warme weiße Brötchen. Zum Abendessen aß man Sauerkraut und Heringe. Fisch isst man am Aschermittwoch auch heute noch.



De Oma jeht nom Pfandhuus, versetzt et letzte Stöck, denn d'r Fastelovend ess für sie et jrößte Jlöck. Denn wenn et Trommelche jeiht, dann stonn mer all parat un mer trecke durch de Stadt un jeder hätt jesaht: "Kölle alaaf, alaaf, Kölle alaaf!"

Was bedeutet "Kölle alaaf"? Lest und erzählt auf Russisch!

Dieses berühmte Hoch auf den Kölner Karneval hat erstmals Fürst Metternich in einer Schrift im 16. Jahrhundert verwendet (Cöllen al aff = Köln über alles). Im Karneval 1733 wird es als Lob- und Trinkspruch nachgewiesen: "Killen Alaaf" wird übersetzt mit "Köln allein" — die alte Stadt voran! Da einmaliges Rufen nicht reicht, wird Köln gleich dreimal "hochgerufen!".

Muster:

den russischen Karneval "die Butterwoche" nennen — Der russische Karneval wird "die Butterwoche" genannt.

- dieses russische Volksfest überall im Lande lieben
- die Butterwoche in der letzten Woche im Februar feiern
- in Moskau einen "Butterwochenmarkt" am Kreml bauen
- Volkslieder singen und Volkstänze tanzen
- bekannte Bands dafür einladen
- lustige Clowns¹ begrüßen alle Gäste
- viele Karussells und Buden bauen
- einen lustigen Umzug von der Moskwa bis zum Kreml machen
- im Sokolniki-Park einen bunten Karneval planen
- viele leckere Pfannkuchen überall backen
- Pfannkuchen mit Honig, Marmelade, saurer Sahne und Butter essen
- 1 der Clown [klaun] клоун

Lektion 91 russischen Gästen

Translating a written text in speech

is a largely informal activity that is by no means uncommon in everyday personal and professional life. It is the process of spontaneously giving a spoken translation of a written text, often a notice, letter, email or other communication. Key concepts operationalised in the scale include the following:

- providing a rough, approximate translation;
- capturing the essential information;
- capturing nuances (higher levels).



TRANSLATING A WRITTEN TEXT IN SPEECH

Note: As in any case in which mediation across languages is involved, users may wish to complete the descriptor by specifying the languages concerned.

C2

Can provide fluent spoken translation into (Language B) of abstract texts written in (Language A) on a wide range of subjects of personal, academic and professional interest, successfully conveying evaluative aspects and arguments, including the nuances and implications associated with them.

C1

Can provide fluent spoken translation into (Language B) of complex written texts written in (Language A) on a wide range of general and specialised topics, capturing most nuances.

B2

Can provide spoken translation into (Language B) of complex texts written in (Language A) containing information and arguments on subjects within his/her fields of professional, academic and personal interest.

Β1

Can provide spoken translation into (Language B) of texts written in (Language A) containing information and arguments on subjects within his/her fields of professional, academic and personal interest, provided that they are written in uncomplicated, standard language.

Can provide an approximate spoken translation into (Language B) of clear, well-structured informational texts written in (Language A) on subjects that are familiar or of personal interest, although his/her lexical limitations cause difficulty with formulation at times.

A2

Can provide an approximate spoken translation into (Language B) of short, simple everyday texts (e.g. brochure entries, notices, instructions, letters or emails) written in (Language A).

Can provide a simple, rough, spoken translation into (Language B) of short, simple texts (e.g. notices on familiar subjects) written in (Language A), capturing the most essential point.

Can provide a simple, rough spoken translation into (Language B) of routine information on familiar everyday subjects that is written in simple sentences in (Language A) (e.g. personal news, short narratives, directions, notices or instructions).

A1

Can provide a simple, rough spoken translation into (Language B) of simple, everyday words and phrases written in (Language A) that are encountered on signs and notices, posters, programmes, leaflets etc.

Pre-A1 No descriptors available

TRANSLATING A WRITTEN TEXT IN WRITING

C2

Can translate into (Language B) technical material outside his/her field of specialisation written in (Language A), provided subject matter accuracy is checked by a specialist in the field concerned.

C1

Can translate into (Language B) abstract texts on social, academic and professional subjects in his/her field written in (Language A), successfully conveying evaluative aspects and arguments, including many of the implications associated with them, though some expression may be over-influenced by the original.

B2

Can produce clearly organised translations from (Language A) into (Language B) that reflect normal language usage but may be over-influenced by the order, paragraphing, punctuation and particular formulations of the original.

Can produce translations into (Language B, which closely follow the sentence and paragraph structure of the original text in (Language A), conveying the main points of the source text accurately, though the translation may read awkwardly.

Β1

Can produce approximate translations from (Language A) into (Language B) of straightforward, factual texts that are written in uncomplicated, standard language, closely following the structure of the original; although linguistic errors may occur, the translation remains comprehensible.

Can produce approximate translations from (Language A) into (Language B) of information contained in short, factual texts written in uncomplicated, standard language; despite errors, the translation remains comprehensible.

A2

Can use simple language to provide an approximate translation from (Language A) into (Language B) of very short texts on familiar and everyday themes that contain the highest frequency vocabulary; despite errors, the translation remains comprehensible.

A1

Can, with the help of a dictionary, translate simple words and phrases from (Language A) into (Language B), but may not always select the appropriate meaning. Pre-A1 No descriptors available

- Lest die Wörterketten vor und übersetzt sie ins Russische!
- der Karneval (карнавал) die Karnevalsmusik, die Karnevalslieder, der Karnevalsverein, der Karnevalssonntag, die Karnevalssitzung
- der Montag (понедельник) der Rosenmontag, der Rosenmontagszug
- die Nacht (ночь) die Fastnacht, das Fastnachtsgericht, die Weiberfastnacht¹
- das Schiff (корабль, судно) das Narrenschiff, der Schiffswagen

HONETIK

Sprecht nach! Merkt euch die Bedeutung dieser Wörter!

der Abschied, die Asche, der Aschermittwoch, allgemein, der Frosch, die Schminke, schunkeln, die Luftschlange, begraben, besorgen, die Narrenkappe, das Motto, das Zeug, hin und her, dolmetschen

Merkt euch diese Sätze!

Von mir aus... — Если вы меня спрашиваете, то... Ich verstehe nur Bahnhof! — Ничего не могу поняты! Bloß nicht! — Ни в коем случае!

¹ das Weib (die Weiber) — (устар.) женщина

<text>

Lektion 6

85

Gästen

meval mit russischen

Anke. Wir brauchen noch Infos über Städte. Das mache ich. Stefan. Die Backsteingotik müssen wir auch noch bringen. Andreas. Das brauchen wir nicht. Stefan. Warum? In Meck-Pomm gibt es viele Bauten.

Melanie. Aber für alle war die Marienkirche in Lübeck das Vorbild. Und die liegt in Schleswig-Holstein.





Ich finde es ein wenig schade. Das Land und den Osten verbindet nicht viel. Du gehst mir auf die Nerven! Die Ostseeküste ist so schön wie Cote d'Azur. In Meck-Pomm wohnen weniger Menschen als in Hamburg. Niemand will in der Landwirtschaft arbeiten. Wir sollen uns über Städte informieren. Über die Backsteingotik müssen wir noch erzählen.

Lektion

49

orden Deuts

GRAMMATIK

Вам уже известны придаточные предложения с союзами dass (что), nachdem (после того как), ob (ли), bevor (прежде чем), da (поскольку), weil (потому что). Но вы также встречали и предложения с союзом wenn. Эти придаточные предложения называются условными. Сказуемое в них, как и в других придаточных, всегда стоит на последнем месте:

Wir gehen baden, wenn es warm wird. — Мы пойдём купаться, если станет тепло.

Wir gehen spazieren, wenn wir mit der Hausaufgabe fertig sind. — Мы пойдём гулять, если сделаем домашнее задание.

Не забудьте! Если придаточное предложение стоит до главного, то порядок слов в главном предложении обратный:

Wenn es warm wird, gehen wir baden.

Wenn wir mit der Hausaufgabe fertig sind, gehen wir spazieren.

Lest die geographischen Namen!



- a) Skandinavien, Dänemark, Norwegen, Schweden;
- b) die Nordsee, die Ostsee;
 c) Kiel, Lübeck, Stettin, Greifswald, Schwerin, Wismar, Stralsund;
 d) Sylt, Rügen, Helgoland, Föhr,
- Fehmarn;
- e) die Holsteinische Schweiz, die Mecklenburger Seenplatte.

der Badeort, der Großbetrieb, <u>nord</u>deutsch, das Deutschland*lied*, das Windrad, der Wassersport, der Schiffbau, die Felseninsel, die Windenergie, das Festland, <u>Nord</u>europa, die Backsteingotik, Nord*friesland*, der Meeresspiegel

PHONETIK

•••••••••• 5. Üben wir die deutsche Aussprache! (



der Bericht, der Deich, der Streich; slawisch, enttäuscht, die Marsch, schützen, das Naturschutzgebiet, der Sturm, die Strömung; rauh, rückständig, die Kreide, ertrinken; die Hanse, die Hansestadt; die Flut, die Sturmflut, die Viehzucht; voll laufen, die Lage, die Ebbe; die Küste, die Ostseeküste; wegziehen, der Zugvogel; das Vorbild



Lektion

45

Im Norden Deutschlands

eletion 3

32

hland

Areine in Deutsch

Deanseases 5. Üben wir die deutsche Aussprache!



- wandern, der Wanderverein, der Behinderte, der Besitzer, der Hundebesitzer, fördern, verteidigen, vertreten;
- die Heimat, das Heimatmuseum, das Mitglied, blind, taub;
- der Beitrag, der Artikel, der Rollstuhl, der Rollstuhlfahrer;
- öffentlich, ehrenamtlich, erreichen, sich anmelden, sich stark machen

Немцев не зря считают активными организаторами различных объединений (Vereinsmeier): согласно данным интернет-сайта <u>www.vereinsliste.de</u>, к началу 2011 г. в Германии насчитывалось 3669 официально зарегистрированных объединений по интересам! Эти объединения создаются гражданами страны для совместных действий в таких областях общественной жизни, как образование, спорт, хобби и свободное время, здравоохранение, семья, помощь нуждающимся, политика, автомобилизм, домашние животные, коллекционирование, музыка и танцы, туризм, экономика, охрана окружающей среды и т. д.

Sportverein, Gesangsverein, Schwimmverein, Tennisverein, Schachverein, Hundeverein, Jägerverein, Schützenverein

seeseeseesee 7. Hört euch das Gespräch an! 👔

VEREINE IN DEUTSCHLAND

(Olga und Tanja aus Tula besuchen ihre deutschen Freundinnen)

Olga. Sportverein, Gesangsverein, Schwimmverein, Tennisverein, Schachverein. Machen die Deutschen alles nur im Verein?

Note-taking (lectures, seminars, meetings etc.):

This scale concerns the ability to listen and write coherent notes, which is valuable in academic and professional life. Key concepts operationalised in the scale include the following:

► type of source text: from demonstrations and instructions, through straightforward lectures and meetings on subjects in his/her field to meetings and seminars on unfamiliar, complex subjects;

consideration on the part of the speaker (lower levels): slow and clear speech, plus pauses to take notes, through clearly articulated, well-structured lectures to multiple sources;

▶ type of note-taking: from taking notes as a series of points (lower levels), through notes on what seems to him/her to be important, to appropriate selection on what to note and what to omit;

accuracy of the notes (higher levels): from notes precise enough for own use (B1) through accurate notes on meetings in his/her field (B2) to accurate capture of abstract concepts, relationships between ideas, implications and allusions.



NOTE-TAKING (LECTURES, SEMINARS, MEETINGS ETC.)

C2

Can, whilst continuing to participate in a meeting or seminar, create reliable notes (or minutes) for people who are not present, even when the subject matter is complex and/or unfamiliar.

Is aware of the implications and allusions of what is said and can make notes on them as well as on the actual words used by the speaker.

Can make notes selectively, paraphrasing and abbreviating successfully to capture abstract concepts and relationships between ideas.

C1

Can take detailed notes during a lecture on topics in his/her field of interest, recording the information so accurately and so close to the original that the notes could also be used by other people.

Can make decisions about what to note down and what to omit as the lecture or seminar proceeds, even on unfamiliar matters.

Can select relevant, detailed information and arguments on complex, abstract topics from multiple spoken sources (e.g. lectures, podcasts, formal discussions and debates, interviews etc.), provided that standard language is delivered at normal speed in one of the range of accents familiar to the listener.

B2

Can understand a clearly structured lecture on a familiar subject, and can take notes on points which strike him/her as important, even though he/she tends to concentrate on the words themselves and therefore to miss some information.

Can make accurate notes in meetings and seminars on most matters likely to arise within his/her field of interest.

Β1

Can take notes during a lecture, which are precise enough for his/her own use at a later date, provided the topic is within his/her field of interest and the talk is clear and well structured.


Can take notes as a list of key points during a straightforward lecture, provided the topic is familiar, and the talk is both formulated in simple language and delivered in clearly articulated standard speech.

Can note down routine instructions in a meeting on a familiar subject, provided they are formulated in simple language and he/she is given sufficient time to do so.

A2

Can make simple notes at a presentation/demonstration where the subject matter is familiar and predictable and the presenter allows for clarification and note-taking. A1 No descriptors available

Pre-A1 No descriptors available



Expressing a personal response to creative texts (including literature):

This first scale reflects the approach taken in school sectors and in adult reading circles. The scale focuses on expression of the effect a work of literature has on the user/learner as an individual. Key concepts operationalized in this scale include the following:

- explaining what he/she liked, what interested him/her about the work;
- describing characters, saying which he/she identified with;
- relating aspects of the work to own experience;
- relating feelings and emotions;
- personal interpretation of the work as a whole or of aspects of it.

EXPRESSING A PERSONAL RESPONSE TO CREATIVE TEXTS (INCLUDING LITERATURE)

C2 No descriptor available

C1

Can describe in detail his/her personal interpretation of a work, outlining his/her reactions to certain features and explaining their significance.

Can outline his/her interpretation of a character in a work: their psychological/emotional state, the motives for their actions and the consequences of these actions. Can give his/her personal interpretation of the development of a plot, the characters and the themes in a story, novel, film or play.

B2

Can give a clear presentation of his/her reactions to a work, developing his/her ideas and supporting them with examples and arguments.

Can describe his/her emotional response to a work and elaborate on the way in which it has evoked this response.

Can express in some detail his/her reactions to the form of expression, style and content of a work, explaining what he/she appreciated and why.

Β1

Can explain why certain parts or aspects of a work especially interested him/her.

Can explain in some detail which character he/she most identified with and why.

Can relate events in a story, film or play to similar events he/she has experienced or heard about.

Can relate the emotions experienced by a character in a work to emotions he/she has experienced.

Can describe the emotions he/she experienced at a certain point in a story, e.g. the point(s) in a story when he/she became anxious for a character, and explain why.

Can explain briefly the feelings and opinions that a work provoked in him/her.

Can describe the personality of a character.

A2

Can express his/her reactions to a work, reporting his/her feelings and ideas in simple language.

Can describe a character's feelings and explain the reasons for them.

Can say in simple language which aspects of a work especially interested him/her.

Can say whether he/she liked a work or not and explain why in simple language.

Can select simple passages he/she particularly likes from work of literature to use as quotes.

A1

Can use simple words and phrases to say how a work made him/her feel. Pre-A1 No descriptors available

Analysis and criticism of creative texts (including literature):

This represents an approach more common at an upper secondary and university level. It concerns more formal, intellectual reactions. Aspects analysed include the significance of events in a novel, treatment of the same themes in different works and other links between them, the extent to which a work follows conventions, and more global evaluation of the work as a whole. Key concepts operationalised in the scale include:

- comparing different works;
- giving a reasoned opinion of a work;
- critically evaluating features of the work, including the effectiveness of techniques employed.



ANALYSIS AND CRITICISM OF CREATIVE TEXTS (INCLUDING LITERATURE)

C2

Can give a critical appraisal of work of different periods and genres (novels, poems, and plays), appreciating subtle distinctions of style and implicit as well as explicit meaning. Can recognise the finer subtleties of nuanced language, rhetorical effect, and stylistic language use (e.g. metaphors, abnormal syntax, ambiguity), interpreting and 'unpacking' meanings and connotations.

Can critically evaluate the way in which structure, language and rhetorical devices are exploited in a work for a particular purpose and give a reasoned argument on their appropriateness and effectiveness.

Can give a critical appreciation of the deliberate breach of linguistic conventions in a piece of writing.

C1

Can critically appraise a wide variety of texts including literary works of different periods and genres.

Can evaluate the extent to which a work meets the conventions of its genre.

Can describe and comment on ways in which the work engages the audience (e.g. by building up and subverting expectations).

B2

Can compare two works, considering themes, characters and scenes, exploring similarities and contrasts and explaining the relevance of the connections between them.

Can give a reasoned opinion about a work, showing awareness of the thematic, structural and formal features and referring to the opinions and arguments of others.

Can evaluate the way the work encourages identification with characters, giving examples.

Can describe the way in which different works differ in their treatment of the same theme.

B1

Can point out the most important episodes and events in a clearly structured narrative in everyday language and explain the significance of events and the connection between them. Can describe the key themes and characters in short narratives involving familiar situations that are written in high frequency everyday language.

A2

Can identify and briefly describe, in basic formulaic language, the key themes and characters in short, simple narratives involving familiar situations that are written in high frequency everyday language.

A1 No descriptors available

Pre-A1 No descriptors available

Mediating concepts refers to the process of facilitating access to knowledge and concepts for others, particularly if they may be unable to access this directly on their own. This is a fundamental aspect of parenting, mentoring, teaching and training. Mediating concepts involves two complementary aspects: on the one hand constructing and elaborating meaning and on the other hand facilitating and stimulating conditions that are conducive to conceptual exchange and development.

Facilitating collaborative interaction with peers:


The user/learner contributes to successful collaboration in a group that he/she belongs to, usually with a specific shared objective or communicative task in mind. He/she is concerned with making conscious interventions where appropriate to orient the discussion, balance contributions, and help to overcome communication difficulties within the group. He/she does not have a designated lead role in the group, and is not concerned with creating a lead role for himself/herself, being concerned solely with successful collaboration. Key concepts operationalised in the scale include the following:

- collaborative participation by consciously managing own role and contributions to the group communication;
- active orientation of teamwork by helping to review key points and consider or define next steps;
- use of questions and contributions to move the discussion forward in a productive way;
- use of questions and turn taking to balance contributions from other group members with his/her own.

Collaborating to construct meaning is concerned with stimulating and developing ideas as a member of a group. It is particularly relevant to collaborative work in problem-solving, brainstorming, concept development and project work. Key concepts operationalised in the scale include the following:

cognitively framing collaborative tasks by deciding on aims, processes and steps;

- co-constructing ideas/solutions;
- ▶ asking others to explain their thinking and identifying inconsistencies in their thought processes;
- summarising the discussion and deciding on next steps.



COLL	ABOR	ATING	GROUP
UULL			 011001

	FACILITATING COLLABORATIVE INTERACTION WITH PEERS	COLLABORATING TO CONSTRUCT MEANING
C2	No descriptors available	Can summarize, evaluate and link the various contributions in order to facilitate agreement for a solution or way forward.
C1	Can show sensitivity to different perspectives within a group, acknowledging contributions and formulating any reservations, disagreements or criticisms in such a way as to avoid or minimize any offence. Can develop the interaction and tactfully help steer it towards a conclusion.	Can frame a discussion to decide a course of action with a partner or group, reporting on what others have said, summarising, elaborating and weighing up multiple points of view. Can evaluate problems, challenges, and proposals in a collaborative discussion in order to decide the way forward. Can highlight inconsistencies in thinking, and challenge others' ideas in the process of trying to reach a consensus.
B2	Can, based on people's reactions, adjust the way he/she formulates questions and/or intervenes in a group interaction. Can act as rapporteur in a group discussion, noting ideas and decisions, discussing these with the group and later giving a summary of the group's view(s) in a plenary.	Can highlight the main issue that needs to be resolved in a complex task and the important aspects that need to be taken into account. Can contribute to collaborative decision-making and problem-solving, expressing and co-developing ideas, explaining details and making suggestions for future action. Can help organise the discussion in a group by reporting what others have said, summarising, elaborating and weighing up different points of view.
	Can ask questions to stimulate discussion on how to organise collaborative work. Can help to define goals for teamwork and compare options for how to achieve them. Can refocus a discussion by suggesting what to consider next, and how to proceed.	Can further develop other people's ideas and opinions. Can present his/her ideas in a group and pose questions that invite reactions from other group members' perspectives. Can consider two different sides of an issue, giving arguments for and against, and propose a solution or compromise.
В1	Can collaborate on a shared task, for example formulating and responding to suggestions, asking whether people agree, and proposing alternative approaches. Can collaborate in simple, shared tasks and work towards a common goal in a group by asking and answering straightforward questions. Can define the task in basic terms in a discussion and ask others to contribute their expertise and experience.	Can organise the work in a straightforward collaborative task by stating the aim and explaining in a simple manner the main issue that needs to be resolved. Can use questions, comments and simple reformulations to maintain the focus of a discussion.
	Can invite other people in a group to speak.	Can ask a group member to give the reason(s) for their views. Can repeat back part of what someone has said to confirm mutual understanding and help keep the development of ideas on course.
A2	Can collaborate in simple, shared tasks, provided that other participants speak slowly and that one or more of them help him/her to contribute and to express his/her suggestions.	Can ensure that the person he/she is talking to understands what he/she means by asking appropriate questions.
	Can collaborate in simple, practical tasks, asking what others think, making suggestions and understanding responses, provided he/she can ask for repetition or reformulation from time to time.	Can make simple remarks and pose occasional questions to indicate that he/she is following. Can make suggestions in a simple way in order to move the discussion forward.
A1	Can invite others' contributions to very simple tasks using short, simple phrases. Can indicate that he/she understands and ask whether others understand.	Can express an idea with very simple words and ask what others think.
Pre-A1	No descriptors available	No descriptors available

Managing interaction:

The user/learner has a designated lead role to organise communicative activity between members of a group or several groups, for example as a teacher, workshop facilitator, trainer or meeting chair. He/she has a conscious approach to managing phases of communication that may include both plenary communication with the whole group, and/or management of communication within and between sub-groups. Key concepts operationalised in the scale include the following:

- leading plenary activity;
- giving instructions and checking understanding of communicative task objectives;
- monitoring and facilitating communication within the group or sub-groups without impeding the flow of communication between group participants;
- re-orienting communication in the group or sub-groups; intervening to set a group back on task;
- ▶ adapting own contributions and interactive role to support group communication, according to need.



Encouraging conceptual talk involves providing scaffolding to enable another person or persons to themselves construct a new concept, rather than passively following a lead. The user/learner may do this as a member of a group, taking temporarily the role of facilitator, or they may have the designated role of an expert (e.g. animator/teacher/trainer/manager) who is leading the group in order to help them understand concepts. Key concepts operationalised in the scale include the following:

- asking questions to stimulate logical reasoning (dialogic talk);
- ► building contributions into logical, coherent discourse



LEADING GROUP WOR	ĸ
-------------------	---

Can recognise undercurrents in interaction and take appropriate steps accordingly to guide the directionCan can can can can can be appropriate steps accordingly to guide the directionC1Can organise a varied and balanced sequence of plenary, group and individual work, ensuring smooth transtitons between the phases.Can acsis a series of open questions that build on different contributions in order to stimulate logical neasoning (e.g. hypothesising, interring, analysing, justifying, and predicting).C11Can organise and manage collaborative group work efficiently. Can organise and manage collaborative group work efficiently. Can intervene supportively in order to tocus people's attention on aspects of the task by asking targeted orsure even participation. Can intervene supportively in order to tocus people's attention on aspects of the task by asking targeted orsure even participation. Can explain the different roles of participants in the collaborative process, giving clear instructions for group work. Can explain ground rules in collaborative discussion in small groups that involves problem solving or tervaluation of atterative proposals. Can antervene when necessary to set a group back on task with new instructions or to encourage members of a group to build upon one another's information and ideas to come up with a concept or solution.B1Can explain from enternational discussion, inviting a participant to say something. Can ask people to elaborate on specific points they made in their initial explanation. Can ask people to elaborate on specific points they made in their initial explanation. Can ask people to elaborate on specific points they made in their initial explanation. Can ask people to elaborate on specific points they made in their initial explanation. Can ask questions to invite people to clarify their reasoning. </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>			
C2(resource person, mediator, supervisor, etc.) and provide appropriate individualised support. Can recognise undercurrents in interaction and take appropriate steps accordingly to guide the direction of the tak.direction of the talk by targeting questions and encouraging others to elaborate on their reasoning.C1Can organise a varied and balanced sequence of plenary, group and individual work, ensuring smooth disruptive behaviour.Can ask a series of open questions that build on different contributions in order to stimulate logical reasoning (-g. hypothesising, interring, analysing, justifying, and predicing).C1Can organise and manage collaborative group work efficiently. Can motion individual and group work non-intrusively, intervening to set a group back on task or to ensure even participation.Can encourage members of a group to build upon one another's information and ideas to come up with a concept or solution.B2Can organise and manage collaborative group work contributive process, giving clear instructions for evaluation on different roles of participants in the collaborative process, giving clear instructions for evaluation or altemative proposate. Can intervene when necessary to set a group back on task with new instructions or to encourage members on pacific points. Can intervene when necessary to set a group back on task with new instructions or to encourage members. Can intervene when necessary to set a group back on task with new instructions for evaluation or altemative proposate. Can intervene when necessary to set a group back on task with new instructions or to encourage members of a group to with the main topic under discussion. Can intervene when necessary to set a group back on task with new instructions for can intervene when necessary to set a group back on task with new instructions or to encourage		MANAGING INTERACTION	ENCOURAGING CONCEPTUAL TALK
C1transitions between the phases. Can intervene diplomatically in order to redirect talk, prevent one person dominating or to contront disruptive behaviour.reasoning (e.g. hypothesising, interring, analysing, justifying, and predicting).B2Can organise and manage collaborative group work efficiently. Can monitor individual and group work non-intrusively, intervening to set a group back on task or to ensure even participation. Can intervene supportively in order to focus people's attention on aspects of the task by asking targeted questions and inviting suggestions.Can encourage members of a group to build upon one another's information and ideas to come up with a concept or solution.B2Can explain the different roles of participants in the collaborative process, giving clear instructions for evaluation of attemative proposats. Can explain ground rules in collaborative discussion in small groups that involves problem solving or the evaluation of attemative proposats. Can ask people to explain he unit the initial explanation. Can ask people to explain how an idea fits with the main topic under discussion.B1Can give simple, clear instructions to organise an activity.Can give simple, clear instructions to organise an activity.Can ask people to etalorate on specific points they mode in their initial explanation. Can ask questions to invite people to clarify their econing.B1Can give simple, clear instructions to organise an activity.Can give simple, clear instructions to accorpative group who help with formulation when necessary.Can give very simple instructions to a cooperative group who help with formulation when necessary.Can give very simple instructions to accorpative group who help with formulation when necessary.Can	C2	(resource person, mediator, supervisor, etc.) and provide appropriate individualised support. Can recognise undercurrents in interaction and take appropriate steps accordingly to guide the direction	
B2Can monitor individual and group work non-intrusively, intervening to set a group back on task or to ensure even participation. Can intervene supportively in order to focus people's attention on aspects of the task by asking targeted questions and inviting suggestions.Can encourage members of a group to build upon one another's information and ideas to come up with a 	C1	transitions between the phases. Can intervene diplomatically in order to redirect talk, prevent one person dominating or to confront	
ensure even participation.Interformationconcept or solution.Can intervene supportively in order to focus people's attention on aspects of the task by asking targeted questions and inviting suggestions.concept or solution.B2Can explain the different roles of participants in the collaborative process, giving clear instructions for group work.Can explain ground rules in collaborative discussion in small groups that involves problem solving or the evaluation of alternative proposals. 		Can organise and manage collaborative group work efficiently.	Can encourage members of a group to describe and elaborate on their thinking.
Can explain the dimerent roles or participants in the collaborative process, giving clear instructions for group work.Can explain ground rules in collaborative discussion in small groups that involves problem solving or the evaluation of alternative proposals. Can intervene when necessary to set a group back on task with new instructions or to encourage more even participation.Can ask people to explain how an idea fits with the main topic under discussion. Can ask people to explain how an idea fits with the main topic under discussion.B1Can allocate the turn in a discussion, inviting a participant to say something. Can give simple, clear instructions to organise an activity.Can ask people to elaborate on specific points they made in their initial explanation. Can ask appropriate questions to check understanding of concepts that have been explained. Can ask questions to invite people to clarify their reasoning.A2Can give very simple instructions to a cooperative group who help with formulation when necessary.Can ask what somebody thinks of a certain idea.		ensure even participation. Can intervene supportively in order to focus people's attention on aspects of the task by asking targeted	
evaluation of alternative proposals. Can intervene when necessary to set a group back on task with new instructions or to encourage more even participation.Can ask people to explain how an idea fits with the main topic under discussion.B1Can allocate the turn in a discussion, inviting a participant to say something. Can ask people to elaborate on specific points they made in their initial explanation. 	B2		
Can intervene when necessary to set a group back on task with new instructions or to encourage more even participation.Can allocate the tum in a discussion, inviting a participant to say something.Can ask people to elaborate on specific points they made in their initial explanation. Can ask appropriate questions to check understanding of concepts that have been explained. Can ask questions to invite people to clarify their reasoning.B1Can give simple, clear instructions to organise an activity.Can ask opeople to elaborate on specific points they made in their initial explanation. Can ask appropriate questions to check understanding of concepts that have been explained. Can ask questions to invite people to clarify their reasoning.A2Can give very simple instructions to a cooperative group who help with formulation when necessary.Can ask what somebody thinks of a certain idea.			Can build on people's ideas and link them into coherent lines of thinking.
B1 Can ask appropriate questions to check understanding of concepts that have been explained. Can ask questions to invite people to clarify their reasoning. Can give simple, clear instructions to organise an activity. Can ask why someone thinks something, or how they think something would work. A2 Can give very simple instructions to a cooperative group who help with formulation when necessary. Can ask what somebody thinks of a certain idea.		Can intervene when necessary to set a group back on task with new instructions or to encourage more	Can ask people to explain how an idea fits with the main topic under discussion.
B1 Can ask questions to invite people to clarify their reasoning. Can give simple, clear instructions to organise an activity. Can ask why someone thinks something, or how they think something would work. A2 Can give very simple instructions to a cooperative group who help with formulation when necessary. Can ask what somebody thinks of a certain idea.		Can allocate the turn in a discussion, inviting a participant to say something.	Can ask people to elaborate on specific points they made in their initial explanation.
A2 Can give very simple instructions to a cooperative group who help with formulation when necessary. Can ask what somebody thinks of a certain idea.	B1		
		Can give simple, clear instructions to organise an activity.	Can ask why someone thinks something, or how they think something would work.
A1 No descriptors available Can use simple isolated words and non-verbal signals to show interest in an idea.	A2	Can give very simple instructions to a cooperative group who help with formulation when necessary.	Can ask what somebody thinks of a certain idea.
	A1	No descriptors available	Can use simple isolated words and non-verbal signals to show interest in an idea.
Pre-A1 No descriptors available No descriptors available	Pre-A1	No descriptors available	No descriptors available

Mediating communication: The aim of mediating communication is to facilitate understanding and to shape successful communication between users/learners who may have individual, sociocultural, sociolinguistic or intellectual differences in standpoint. The mediator tries to have a positive influence on aspects of the dynamic relationship between all the participants, including the relationship with him or herself. Often, the context of the mediation will be an activity in which participants have shared communicative objectives, but this need not necessarily be the case. The skills involved are relevant to diplomacy, negotiation, pedagogy and dispute resolution, but also to everyday social and/or workplace interactions. Mediating communication is thus primarily concerned with personal encounters, and so descriptor scales are only provided for spoken communicative activities. This is not a closed list – users may well be able to think of other types of relational activity not included here.



Facilitating pluricultural space:

This scale reflects the notion of creating a shared space between and among linguistically and culturally different interlocutors, i.e. the capacity of dealing with 'otherness' to identify similarities and differences to build on known and unknown cultural features, etc. in order to enable communication and collaboration. The user/learner aims to facilitate a positive interactive environment for successful communication between participants of different cultural backgrounds, including in multicultural contexts. Rather than simply building on his/her pluricultural repertoire to gain acceptance and to enhance his own mission or message (see Building on pluricultural repertoire), he/she is engaged as a cultural mediator: creating a neutral, trusted, shared 'space' in order to enhance the communication between others. He/she aims to expand and deepen intercultural understanding between participants in order to avoid and/or overcome any potential communication difficulties arising from contrasting cultural viewpoints. Naturally, the mediator him/herself needs a continually developing awareness of sociocultural and sociolinguistic differences affecting crosscultural communication. Key concepts operationalised in the scale include the following:

using questions and showing interest to promote understanding of cultural norms and perspectives between speakers;

demonstrating sensitivity to and respect for different sociocultural and sociolinguistic perspectives and norms;
 anticipating, dealing with and/or repairing misunderstandings arising from sociocultural and sociolinguistic differences.

FACILITATING PLURICULTURAL SPACE

C2	Can mediate effectively and naturally between members of his/her own and other communities, taking account of sociocultural and sociolinguistic differences. Can guide a sensitive discussion effectively, identifying nuances and undercurrents.
C1	Can act as mediator in intercultural encounters, contributing to a shared communication culture by managing ambiguity offering advice and support, and heading off misunderstandings. Can anticipate how people might misunderstand what has been said or written and help to maintain positive interaction by commenting on and interpreting different cultural perspectives on the issue concerned.
82	Can exploit knowledge of socio-cultural conventions in order to establish a consensus on how to proceed in a particular situation unfamiliar to everyone involved. Can, in intercultural encounters, demonstrate appreciation of perspectives other than his/her own normal worldview, and express him/herself in a way appropriate to the context. Can clarify misunderstandings and misinterpretations during intercultural encounters, suggesting how things were actually meant in order to clear the air and move the discussion forward.
	Can encourage a shared communication culture by expressing understanding and appreciation of different ideas, feelings and viewpoints, and inviting participants to contribute and react to each other's ideas. Can work collaboratively with people who have different cultural orientations, discussing similarities and differences in views and perspectives. Can, when collaborating with people from other cultures, adapt the way he/she works in order to create shared procedures.
	Can support communication across cultures by initiating conversation, showing interest and empathy by asking and answering simple questions, and expressing agreement and understanding. Can act in a supportive manner in intercultural encounters, recognising the feelings and different world views of other members of the group.
81	Can support an intercultural exchange using a limited repertoire to introduce people from different cultural backgrounds and to ask and answer questions, showing awareness that some questions may be perceived differently in the cultures concerned. Can help to develop a shared communication culture, by exchanging information in a simple way about values and attitudes to language and culture.
A2	Can contribute to an intercultural exchange, using simple words to ask people to explain things and to get clarification of what they say, whilst exploiting his/her limited repertoire to express agreement, to invite, to thank etc.
A1	Can facilitate an intercultural exchange by showing welcome and interest with simple words and non-verbal signals, by inviting others to speak and by indicating whether he/she understands when addressed directly.
Pre-A1	No descriptors available





Acting as intermediary in informal situations (with friends and colleagues):

This scale is intended for situations in which the user/learner as a plurilingual individual mediates across languages and cultures to the best of his/her ability in an informal situation in the public, private, occupational or educational domain. The scale is therefore not concerned with the activities of professional interpreters. The mediation may be in one direction (e.g. during a welcome speech) or in two directions (e.g. during a conversation). Key concepts operationalized in the scale include the following:

- ▶ informally communicating the sense of what speakers are saying in a conversation;
- conveying important information (e.g. in a situation at work);
- repeating the sense of what is expressed in speeches and presentations.

ACTING AS INTERMEDIARY IN INFORMAL SITUATIONS (WITH FRIENDS AND COLLEAGUES)

C2

Can communicate in clear, fluent, well-structured (Language B) the sense of what is said in (Language A) on a wide range of general and specialised topics, maintaining appropriate style and register, conveying finer shades of meaning and elaborating on sociocultural implications.

C1

Can communicate fluently in (Language B) the sense of what is said in (Language A) on a wide range of subjects of personal, academic and professional interest, conveying significant information clearly and concisely as well as explaining cultural references.

B2

Can mediate (between Language A and Language B), conveying detailed information, drawing the attention of both sides to background information and sociocultural cues, and posing clarification and follow-up questions or statements as necessary.

Can communicate in (Language B) the sense of what is said in a welcome address, anecdote or presentation in his/her field given in (Language A), interpreting cultural cues appropriately and giving additional explanations when necessary, provided that the speaker stops frequently in order to allow time for him/her to do so.

Can communicate in (Language B) the sense of what is said in (Language A) on subjects within his/her fields of interest, conveying and when necessary explaining the significance of important statements and viewpoints, provided speakers give clarifications if needed.

Β1

Can communicate in (Language B) the main sense of what is said in (Language A) on subjects within his/her fields of interest, conveying straightforward factual information and explicit cultural references, provided that he/she can prepare beforehand and that the speakers articulate clearly in everyday language.

Can communicate in (Language B) the main sense of what is said in (Language A) on subjects of personal interest, whilst following important politeness conventions, provided that the speakers articulate clearly in standard language and that he/she can ask for clarification and pause to plan how to express things.

A2

Can communicate in (Language B) the overall sense of what is said in (Language A) in everyday situations, following basic cultural conventions and conveying the essential information, provided that the speakers articulate clearly in standard language and that he/she can ask for repetition and clarification.

Can communicate in (Language B) the main point of what is said in (Language A) in predictable, everyday situations, conveying back and forth information about personal wants and needs, provided that the speakers help with formulation.

A1

Can communicate (in Language B) other people's personal details and very simple, predictable information available (in Language A), provided other people help with formulation. Pre-A1 No descriptors available

Facilitating communication in delicate situations and disagreements:

The user/learner may have a formal role to mediate in a disagreement between third parties, or may informally try to resolve a misunderstanding, delicate situation or disagreement between speakers. He/she is primarily concerned with clarifying what the problem is and what the parties want, helping them to understand each other's positions. He/she may well attempt to persuade them to move closer to a resolution of the issue. He/she is not at all concerned with his/her own viewpoint, but seeks balance in the representation of the viewpoints of the other parties involved in the discussion. Key concepts operationalised in the scale include the following:

- exploring in a sensitive and balanced way the different viewpoints represented by participants in the dialogue;
- elaborating on viewpoints expressed to enhance and deepen participants' understanding of the issues discussed;
- establishing common ground;
- establishing possible areas of concession between participants;
- mediating a shift in viewpoint of one or more participants, to move closer to an agreement or resolution.

FACILITATING COMMUNICATION IN DELICATE SITUATIONS AND DISAGREEMENTS

C2

Can deal tactfully with a disruptive participant, framing any remarks diplomatically in relation to the situation and cultural perceptions. Can confidently take a firm but diplomatic stance over an issue of principle, while showing respect for the viewpoint of others.

C1

Can demonstrate sensitivity to different viewpoints, using repetition and paraphrase to demonstrate detailed understanding of each party's requirements for an agreement.

Can formulate a diplomatic request to each side in a disagreement to determine what is central to their position, and what they may be willing to give up under certain circumstances.

Can use persuasive language to suggest that parties in disagreement shift towards a new position.

B2

Can elicit possible solutions from parties in disagreement in order to help them to reach consensus, formulating openended, neutral questions to minimise embarrassment or offense.

Can help the parties in a disagreement better understand each other by restating and reframing their positions more clearly and by prioritising needs and goals.

Can formulate a clear and accurate summary of what has been agreed and what is expected from each of the parties.

Can, by asking questions, identify areas of common ground and invite each side to highlight possible solutions.

Can outline the main points in a disagreement with reasonable precision and explain the positions of the parties involved.

Can summarise the statements made by the two sides, highlighting areas of agreement and obstacles to agreement.

Β1

Can ask parties in a disagreement to explain their point of view, and can respond briefly to their explanations, provided the topic is familiar to him/her and the parties speak clearly.

Can demonstrate his/her understanding of the key issues in a disagreement on a topic familiar to him/her and make simple requests for confirmation and/or clarification.

A2

Can recognise when speakers disagree or when difficulties occur in interaction and adapt memorised simple phrases to seek compromise and agreement.

A1

Can recognise when speakers disagree or when someone has a problem and can use memorised simple words and phrases (e.g. "I understand" "Are you okay?" to indicate sympathy.

re-A1

No descriptors available

Mediation strategies

STRATEGIES TO EXPLAIN A NEW CONCEPT

	LINKING TO PREVIOUS KNOWLEDGE	ADAPTING LANGUAGE	BREAKING DOWN COMPLICATED INFORMATION	
C2	Can introduce complex concepts (e.g. scientific notions) by providing extended definitions and explanations which draw upon assumed previous knowledge.	Can adapt the language of a very wide range of texts in order to present the main content in a register and degree of sophistication and detail appropriate to the audience concerned.	Can facilitate understanding of a complex issue by explaining the relationship of parts to the whole and encourage different ways of approaching it.	
C1	Can spontaneously pose a series of questions to encourage people to think about their prior knowledge of an abstract issue and to help them establish a link to what is going to be explained.	Can explain technical terminology and difficult concepts when communicating with non-experts about matters within his/her field of specialisation. Can adapt his/her language (e.g. syntax, idiomaticity, jargon) in order to make a complex specialist topic accessible to recipients who are not familiar with it. Can paraphrase and interpret complex, technical texts, using suitably non-technical language for a listener who does not have specialist knowledge.	Can facilitate understanding of a complex issue by highlighting and categorising the main points, presenting them in a logically connected pattern and reinforcing the message by repeating the key aspects in different ways.	
82	Can clearly explain the connections between the goals of the session and the personal or professional interests and experiences of the participant(s).	Can explain technical topics within his/her field, using suitably non- technical language for a listener who does not have specialist knowledge. Can make a specific, complex piece of information in his/her field clearer and more explicit for others by paraphrasing it in simpler language.	Can make a complicated issue easier to understand by presenting the components of the argument separately.	
	Can formulate questions and give feedback to encourage people to make connections to previous knowledge and experiences. Can explain a new concept or procedure by comparing and contrasting it to one that people are already familiar with.	Can make accessible for others the main contents of a spoken or written text on a subject of interest (e.g. an essay, a forum discussion, a presentation) by paraphrasing in simpler language.	Can make a complicated process easier to understand by breaking it down into a series of smaller steps.	
B1	Can explain how something works by providing examples which draw upon people's everyday experiences.	Can paraphrase more simply the main points made in short, straightforward spoken or written texts on familiar subjects (e.g. short magazine articles, interviews) to make the contents accessible for others.	Can make a short instructional or informational text easier to understand by presenting it as a list of separate points.	
	Can show how new information is related to what people are familiar with by asking simple questions.	Can paraphrase short written passages in a simple fashion, using the original order of the text.	Can make a set of instructions easier to understand by saying them slowly, a few words at a time, employing verbal and non-verbal emphasis to facilitate understanding.	
A2	No descriptors available	Can repeat the main point of a simple message on an everyday subject, using different words to help someone else understand it.	No descriptors available	
A1	No descriptors available	No descriptors available	No descriptors available	
Pre-A1	No descriptors available	No descriptors available	No descriptors available	

STRATEGIES TO SIMPLIFY A TEXT

	AMPLIFYING A DENSE TEXT	STREAMLINING A TEXT
C2	Can elucidate the information given in texts on complex academic or professional topics by elaborating and exemplifying.	Can redraft a complex source text, improving coherence, cohesion and the flow of an argument, whilst removing sections unnecessary for its purpose
C1	Can make complex, challenging content more accessible by explaining difficult aspects more explicitly and adding helpful detail. Can make the main points contained in a complex text more accessible to the target audience by adding redundancy, explaining and modifying style and register.	Can reorganise a complex source text in order to focus on the points of most relevance to target audience.
	Can make the content of a text on a subject in his/her fields of interest more accessible to a target audience by adding examples, reasoning and explanatory comments.	Can simplify a source text by excluding non-relevant or repetitive information and taking into consideration the intended audience.
B2	Can make concepts on subjects in his/her fields of interest more accessible by giving concrete examples, recapitulating step by step and repeating the main points.	Can edit a source text by deleting the parts that do not add new information that is relevant for a given audience in order to make the significant content more accessible for them.
	Can make new information more accessible by using repetition and adding illustrations.	Can identify related or repeated information in different parts of a text and merge it in order to make the essential message clearer.
B1	Can make an aspect of an everyday topic clearer and more explicit by conveying the main information in another way.	Can identify and mark (e.g. underline, highlight etc.) the essential information in a straightforward, informational text, in order to pass this information on to someone else.
	Can make an aspect of an everyday topic clearer by providing simple examples.	
A2	No descriptors available	Can identify and mark (e.g. underline, highlight etc.) the key sentences in a short, everyday text.
A1	No descriptors available	No descriptors available
Pre-A1	No descriptors available	No descriptors available

Mediation strategies

The CEFR Illustrative Descriptor Scales > Page 129



О. А. Радченко, Г. Хебелер

БЛАГОДАРИМ за ВНИМАНИЕ! ПРИГЛАШАЕМ к СОТРУДНИЧЕСТВУ!



R

корпорация ОССИЙСКИЙ УЧЕБНИК

